spectively, and transported from the State of Ohio into the State of West Virginia, and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, as amended. The article was labeled in part: "Canton Brand Prepared Mustard * * * Packed by the Canton Canning Co., Canton, O."

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libels for the reason that mustard hulls had been mixed and packed with and substituted wholly or in part for the said article, and for the further reason that it was colored in a manner whereby its inferiority was concealed.

Misbranding was alleged in substance for the reason that the statements appearing on the labels of the jugs and jars containing the article, "Canton Brand Prepared Mustard. Made from Pure Mustard Seed with Salt, Spices and Vinegar. Colored with Turmeric," were false and misleading and deceived and misled the purchaser. Misbranding was alleged for the further reason that the article was an imitation of, and was offered for sale under the distinctive name of, another article; and for the further reason that it was food in package form, and the quantity of the contents was not plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of the packages.

On July 3, 1922, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgments of the court were entered forfeiting the product to the Government and ordering that it be delivered to the Wheeling Hospital, Wheeling, W. Va., for the use of the inmates therein.

C. W. Pugsley, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

10553. Misbranding of C. J. C. regulator and C. J. C. liniment. U. S. * * * v. Chester J. Czarnecki (C. J. Czarnecki). Plea of guilty. Fine, \$800 and costs. (F. & D. No. 14755. I. S. Nos. 9953-r, 9954-r.)

On May 8, 1922, the Grand Jurors of the United States, within and for the District of Indiana, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, upon presentment by the United States attorney for said district, returned in the District Court of the United States for the district aforesaid an indictment in four counts against Chester J. Czarnecki, trading as C. J. Czarnecki, at South Bend, Ind., charging shipment by said defendant, on or about April 30, 1920, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, as amended, from the State of Indiana into the State of Wisconsin, of quantities of C. J. C. regulator and C. J. C. liniment, respectively, which were misbranded. The articles were labeled in part, respectively: (Cartons) (design of red Greek cross with circle around it) "* * * C. J. C. Regulator * * * Mfg. by C. J. Czarnecki, South Bend, Indiana * * * "; and "* * * C. J. C. Rattle-Snake Brand-Liniment * * *."

Analyses of samples of the articles by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed that the regulator contained iron chlorid, a small amount of plant material, a trace of tansy oil, 18 per cent of alcohol, and water; and that the liniment contained camphor, menthol, 5.2 grains of chloral hydrate per fluid ounce, ether, ammonia, 63 per cent of alcohol, and water.

Misbranding of the regulator was alleged in the indictment for the reason that the statement, to wit, "28% Alcohol," appearing on the bottles and cartons containing the article, regarding the said article and the ingredients contained therein, was false and misleading in that the said statement represented that the article contained 28 per cent of alcohol, whereas, in truth and in fact, it did not contain 28 per cent of alcohol but did contain a less amount, to wit, 18 per cent. Misbranding of the liniment was alleged in substance for the reason that it contained chloral hydrate, and the quantity or proportion of the said chloral hydrate was not stated upon the cartons or upon the labels of the bottles containing the article, and for the further reason that it contained alcohol and ether, and the labels of the said bottles bore no statement as to the quantity or proportion of the said alcohol and ether contained in the said articles. Misbranding of both articles was alleged in substance for the further reason that certain statements appearing on the cartons and labels of the bottles containing the respective articles and in the circulars accompanying the same falsely and fraudulently represented the regulator to be effective as a specific, to wit, a remedy, treatment, or cure for painful or disordered menstruation, leucorrhea (whites), general debilitation, hysteria, ovarian neuralgia or inflammation, bearing-down pain, and all similar diseases peculiar to women, and to be effective in strengthening the reproductive organs of women and in regulating the periods (times); and the liniment to be effective as a remedy, treatment, or cure for rheumatism, influenza, grippe, neuralgia, headache,

colds, sore throat, and pneumonia, when, in truth and in fact, the said articles did not contain ingredients or medicinal agents effective for the purposes

On May 8, 1922, the defendant entered a plea of guilty to the indictment, and the court imposed a fine of \$800 and costs.

C. W. Pugsley, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

10554. Adulteration of tomato purée and tomato pulp. U. S. * * * v. Joseph S. Morgan and Ivan C. Morgan (Morgan Packing Co.). Pleas of guilty. Fines, \$500 and costs. (F. & D. No. 14563. I. S. Nos. 7283-r, 7289-r, 8144-r, 8145-r, 8146-r, 8592-r, 10796-r, 10809-r.)

On May 8, 1922, the Grand Jurors of the United States, within and for the District of Indiana, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, upon presentment by the United States attorney for said district, returned in the District Court of the United States for the district aforesaid an indictment in eight counts against Joseph S. Morgan and Ivan C. Morgan, of Austin, Ind., copartners, trading as the Morgan Packing Co., charging shipment by said defendants, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, from the State of Indiana, on or about October 21, November 7, and December 30, 1919, respectively, into the States of Kansas, Wisconsin, and Missouri, respectively, and on or about February 12 and April 16, 1920, respectively, into the State of Kentucky, of quantities of tomato puree, and on or about October 21, 1919, into the State of Kansas, of a quantity of tomato pulp, all of which were adulterated. The articles were labeled in part, respectively: (Cans) "Scott Co. Brand Tomato Puree Scott County Canned Foods Trade Mark (Picture of Gen. Scott) * * *"; "Carnival Brand Tomato Puree * * *"; and "American Beauty Brand * * * Tomato Pulp * * * ',

Analyses of samples of the articles by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed the presence of excessive mold.

Adulteration of the articles was charged in the indictment for the reason that they consisted in whole or in part of filthy and decomposed vegetable substances.

On May 8, 1922, the defendants entered pleas of guilty to the indictment, and the court imposed fines in the aggregate sum of \$500 and costs.

C. W. Pugsley, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

10555. Misbranding of olive oil. U. S. * * * v. 35 Cans * * * of Olive Oil. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 15027. I. S. No. 6974-t. S. No. E-3421.)

On July 16, 1921, the United States attorney for the District of New Jersey, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel for the seizure and condemnation of 35 cans of olive oil, remaining unsold at Red Bank, N. J., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Caserta Importing Co., New York, N. Y., on or about June 10, 1921, and transported from the State of New York into the State of New Jersey, and charging misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, as amended. The article was labeled in part: "Pure Olive Oil * * * Caserta Brand Net Contents Full Quarter Gallon * * *."

Misbranding of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that the statement, to wit, "Net Contents Full Quarter Gallon," borne upon the cans containing the article, regarding the quantity of the article contained therein, was false and misleading in that the said cans did not each contain a full quarter gallon, to wit, one quart of the article, but contained a less amount, and for the further reason that the article was labeled as aforesaid so as to deceive and mislead the purchaser into the belief that each of the said cans contained a full quarter gallon net of the article, whereas, in truth and in fact, the said cans did not each contain a full quarter gallon net of the article but did contain a less quantity. Misbranding was alleged for the further reason that the article was food in package form, and the quantity of the contents was not plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of the package, since the actual net quantity of the contents of the said packages was less than the net quantity marked thereon.

On February 9, 1922, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

C. W. Pugsley, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.